

Curt Barrett

From: Curt Barrett
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2011 9:50 AM
To: 'Tim Allen'; Erik.Spande@ch2m.com
Cc: dwatson@rsaengr.com; mbaldwin@cmtengr.com; jjbajor@gmail.com; jim3598@gmail.com; James Hughes; Deborah Birutis; Erik Spande
Subject: RE: Winfield - Public Works Committee meeting is Weds August 24th: road plans and road alternatives

We did review potential revenues from growth and can again, where for example we get about 3% of EAV increases and 1% of sales growth. However our bonding authority without referendum is limited, and it is unusual for towns to update their comprehensive plan every five years. Done correctly this is a lengthy, involved process and I believe once every 10+ years is common practice -Curt

From: Tim Allen [mailto:tim@indigoinc.com]
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2011 9:25 AM
To: Erik.Spande@ch2m.com
Cc: dwatson@rsaengr.com; Curt Barrett; mbaldwin@cmtengr.com; jjbajor@gmail.com; jim3598@gmail.com; James Hughes; Deborah Birutis; Erik Spande
Subject: Re: Winfield - Public Works Committee meeting is Weds August 24th: road plans and road alternatives

Erik,

Under funding you are missing a few options.

"EARN MONEY" should be one of them such as with an expansion plan that includes Roosevelt Road. The comprehensive plan is 10 years old this year. This for a document that should be revamped every 5 years, making Winfield 5 years overdue for doing it's homework. Winfield has a revenue problem caused by a certain political group wanting to hide it's head in the sand when it comes to growth and sustainable revenues.

We can also bond out without a referendum.

I can't wait to have the discussion.

-Tim

On Sun, Aug 14, 2011 at 5:55 PM, <Erik.Spande@ch2m.com> wrote:

Hello Dan and Curt,

Note – I've CCed those that are members of Public Works or who attend regularly

000015